



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,371	02/19/2002	Stuart Todd Rader	06502.0061-01	2760

22852 7590 10/06/2005

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

BLENNAN, AVALON

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/076,371	RADER, STUART TODD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Avalon Blenman	2153	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is a first action on the merits of this application and is made **NON-FINAL**. Claims 2-27 are currently pending, of which 5, 9, 13, 17, 20, 23, & 26 are independent claims. Claim 1 has been cancelled and claims 17-28 added in a preliminary amendment.

Response to Amendment

2. Examiner acknowledges preliminary amendment submitted 02/19/2002, canceling claim1 and adding claims 17-28. These amendments have been entered.

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim to parent Application No.09/090,414 (US 6,370,581) filed on 06/04/1998. As such, the effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims is June 4th, 1998.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The formation disclosure statement (IDS) submitted has been entered and is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being of improper dependent form for being dependent on a cancelled claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend

Art Unit: 2153

the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter ("computer program product").

8. Computer programs or computer program products are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Appropriate correction is required. This claim will be treated as best understood by examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2153

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims **1-16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Cheng et al. (US 5,963,155)**, hereinafter Cheng.

11. In considering claims **2, 6, 10, & 14**, Cheng discloses:

- converting each fixed-length character into a multibyte character [col. 3, lines 12-25, col. 4, lines 37-40]; and
- determining a length ("total number of octets") corresponding to the multibyte characters [col. 5, lines 30-32].

12. In considering claims **3, 7, 11, & 15**, Cheng discloses:

- determining a length of the string of multibyte characters by converting the string to UTF-8 encoding format [col. 6, lines 16-20].

13. In considering claims **4, 8, 12, & 16**, Cheng discloses:

- converting each fixed-length character into a multibyte character [col. 3, lines 12-25, col. 4, lines 37-40]; and

Art Unit: 2153

- transmitting the length and the multibyte characters [fig. 1, l-string arrow, col. 3, lines 38-41].

14. In considering independent claims **5, 9, & 13**, Cheng discloses an apparatus for transmitting data in a network between a client and a server, comprising:

- a receiver (fig. 1, #24, stage 24) configured to receive a set of fixed-length characters [col. 3, lines 12-26];
- a converter (fig. 1, #24, stage 24) configured to convert each fixed-length character into a multibyte character to determine a length ("total number of octets") corresponding to the multibyte characters [col. 4, lines 38-40, col. 5, lines 16-19, 30-32]; and
- transmitter (fig. 1, #24, stage 24) configured to transmit the length and the multibyte characters [fig. 1, l8-string arrow, col. 3, lines 38-41].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claims **17, 18, 20, 24, 27, & 26** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Cheng**, further in view of **Edberg et al. (US 5,682,158)**, hereinafter **Edberg**.

17. In considering independent claims **17, 20, & 26**, Cheng discloses a method for transmitting messages in a multi-node network, comprising:

- converting the fixed-byte format message into a multibyte format message with any characters represented by one or more bytes depending on the character [col. 13, lines 12-26];
- obtaining an actual length ("total number of octets") of the multibyte format message [col. 5, lines 30-32]; and
- transmitting information reflecting the actual length of the multibyte format message with the multibyte format message to a network node [fig. 1, 18-string arrow, col. 3, lines 38-41].

While Cheng discloses these features, Cheng does not explicitly disclose storing the fixed-byte formatted message. Nonetheless, in analogous art, Edberg discloses a system for converting a fixed-byte format message into a multibyte format message [fig.1, col. 7, lines 30-40]. Edberg further discloses:

- storing a fixed-byte format message with any characters represented by a fixed number of bytes [fig. 4, #405, col. 7, lines 41-46].

Given the teachings of Edberg, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system/method disclosed by Cheng to store a fixed-byte formation message. The motivation as suggested by

Art Unit: 2153

Edberg, allows all the blocks of data to be received and collected over the network prior to the conversion (i.e. avoiding any conversion errors) [col. 3, lines 15-20].

18. In considering claims **18, 24, & 27**, Cheng discloses:

- translating each character in the fixed-byte format message according to an UTF-8 encoding format [col. 6, lines 16-20].

19. In considering claims **19, 25, & 28**, while Cheng discloses packaging information reflecting the actual length of the multibyte format message with the message, Cheng does not explicitly disclose packaging the length and the message in a HTTP request. Cheng teaches sending information representing a variety of characters over the Internet [col. 1, col. 16-25]. Transmission of Unicode ("source string") over the Internet is also taught by Edberg [col. 3, lines 49-55, col. 7, lines 30-40]. At the time of the invention, HTTP was a well-known protocol for transmitting requests and responses over the Internet.

20. In considering independent claim **23**, Cheng discloses an apparatus for transmitting messages in a multi-node network, the apparatus comprising:

- a processor (fig. 1, #24, stage 24) configured to convert the fixed-byte format message into a multibyte format message with any characters represented by one or more bytes depending on the character, and to obtain an actual length of the multibyte format message [col. 4, lines 38-40, col. 5, lines 16-19, 30-32]; and

Art Unit: 2153

- a transmitter (fig. 1, #24, stage 24) configured to transmit information reflecting the actual length of the multibyte format message with the multibyte format message to a network node [fig. 1, 18-string arrow, col. 3, lines 38-41].

Cheng does not explicitly disclose a memory to store the fixed-byte message. Nonetheless, as disclosed above in reference to claim 17, this feature is taught by Edberg [fig. 4, #405, col. 7, liens 41-46].

Conclusion


21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avalon Blenman whose telephone number is (571) 272-5864. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM (even date Mons. off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2153

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avalon Blenman
Art Unit 2153
09/21/2005



Dung C. Dinh
Primary Examiner